UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Brandon Jordan Defendant	Case No. 1:15-mj-00231
that the	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform e defendant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findin	gs of Fact
((1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 a federal offense a state or local offense that v existed – that is	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(which the prison term is 10 years or more.	a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is dear	th or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten	years or more is prescribed in:
		*
	a felony committed after the defendant had been countries. U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or I	onvicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ocal offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involve	98:
	a minor victim	
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2		the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
\^2	or local offense.	the defendant was en release pending that for a loadfall, state
(3	3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4	 Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption person or the community. I further find that defendant has 	on that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another somethings on the safety of another somethings.
	Alternative Fig	ndings (A)
√ (1	1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	s committed an offense
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or maximum prison term of the years of th	ore is prescribed in:*
_	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
<u>√</u> (2	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption establish will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the	ned by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ne safety of the community.
/	Alternative Fig.	ndings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
<u>√</u> (2	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger th	
	Part II – Statement of the	_
	I find that the testimony and information submitted at the de ce a preponderance of the evidence that: fendant's ties to the Western District of Michigan are very ter	· —
	fendant has an extensive criminal history including crimes of	
	fendant has no significant employment history and no curren	
	fendant has a history of substance abuse.	
5 Det	fendant has a history of failures to annear	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	October 27, 2015	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge